

January 23, 1976

against using dogs for the blind? Anybody here have anything against using police dogs or training them? Do the people here have something against fishing? Do the people here have something against falconry? Do the people here have something against the agricultural people out there who raise chickens. Do the people here have something against people who export chickens because this bill outlaws almost all those things. People here want to establish a precedence and principle that Senator Chambers has voiced on this floor as terrible on repeated occasions. That principle is that any police officer, sheriff, or any person like this can go into any place, any place and arrest "all persons present" if that man believes some particular activity is going on there. So let me take you through the bill. It shall be unlawful for any person for amusement or gain, and then it recites a number of things, things you can't do, cause any animal to worry or injure each other or any human, and exempted from this super, super broad category are rodeos, hunting and training for show. Well, I don't know if you know anything about training of police dogs or use of police dogs or training of dogs for the blind, but they use the system of reward and punishment, worrying, defined in Black's dictionary on animal activity, by the way in other cases. There is no exemption of training of animals or use of animals for these things and they are included. Let's go down to another section. Try section 3, any sheriff, policeman, game warden, conservation officer or peace officer may enter any place, building or tenement, where there is the exhibition of fighting of birds or animals or where preparations are being made for such an exhibition and arrest all persons present. Any man that has guarded the rights of the individual as avidly as you have, Senator Chambers, I cannot believe in any way would sanction language like that or broad police authority like that. If two people, two kids are down at the State Fair, theoretically at least, and their dogs are fighting or something, theoretically according to this, the police can march in and arrest all persons present. Ridiculous, but that is the kind of ridiculous law we are passing, that we are writing here. Okay, now let's see how we treat the agricultural interest in the state. The fact that the cockscomb, we are talking about the comb of the rooster or the chicken, has been clipped shall be prima facie evidence of intention to use or engage such cock in an exhibition of fighting. Did you hear that? The University of Nebraska Agriculture Department just issued a fairly detailed pamphlet in which they explained that one of the practices that they direct people to use for producers of chickens is to clip the comb, to clip them to prevent these chickens from fighting or tearing each other up and they make reference in there that in ancient days clipping the comb used to be done relative to cockfighting. However, that is what they instruct their people to do today and it is prima facie evidence that they are criminals. Let's keep going in some of this cockfighting bill that covers everything else. It shall be unlawful for anyone to manufacture, buy, sell,